	1 2 3 4 5 6	ROBERT R. RIGGS (Bar # 107684) SUNG E. SHIM (Bar # 184247) Katzoff & Riggs 1500 Park Avenue, Suite 300 Emeryville, CA 94608 Tel. (510) 597-1990 Attorneys for Defendant, Cross-Claimant and Third Party Plaintiff MICHAEL FRIEL						
	7	7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
	8							
EMERYVILLE, CA. 94608 (510) 597-1990	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	RITA JETTE) Plaintiff,) vs.) GEORGE MCQUEEN; MICHAEL) FRIEL,) Defendants.) AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIM AND) THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT.)	Case No. C 05-02226 PJH STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MEDIATE IN LIEU OF EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION AND TO EXTEND PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES					
	18 19 20 21 22	Based on the Stipulation of the evaluator and the parties through their response to the counsel and for good cause shown, the Court enters the following order: STIPULATION The parties to this action, through their respective counsel of record,						

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1. On June 1, 2005, Plaintiff Rita Jette filed her complaint against
Defendants Michael Friel ("Friel") and George McQueen ("McQueen"). On October
12, 2005, Friel answered the complaint and filed a cross-claim for indemnity against
McQueen. Thereafter, the parties entered into a stipulation to proceed to Early Neutra
Evaluation ("ENE"), and at the initial case management conference ("CMC") or
December 8, 2006, the Court ordered the parties to ENE to be completed within 90
days. On the same day, the Court also set a deadline for motions relating to pleadings
(January 18, 2006) and another deadline for dispositive motions (May 10, 2006), with a
caveat that the parties "may stipulate to changes to dates if other party is not agreeable
to current dates set." The deadlines to complete ENE and to file the dispositive motion
shall be referred to herein as "Deadlines." On December 27, 2005, the Court appointed
Pamela Phillips to be the evaluator.

- 2. The motions relating to pleadings included: a) Plaintiff's motion for leave to file first amended complaint; and b) Friel's motion for leave to file a third party complaint against new parties, Seth L. Goldstein ("Goldstein") and Henry Katz ("Katz") (collectively "Third Party Defendants"). On March 1, 2006, the Court granted both motions. The third party complaint and the first amended complaint were filed on March 7, 2006.
- 3. The parties who have already appeared (Plaintiff, Friel and McQueen) held at least two pre-ENE telephonic conferences (sessions with Ms. Phillips on March 2, 2006, and March 14, 2006) to discuss the nature of the ENE proceeding and to schedule the actual conference. At the time, it was impractical to schedule a specific

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

date because both Third Party Defendants, one of whom is in Rhode Island, did not appear yet and also because McQueen was incarcerated. The scheduling difficulty was compounded by the limited availability of the evaluator and Plaintiff. Specifically, due to Plaintiff's age (80 years old) and her Rhode Island residence, the parties wanted to accommodate her so that she would have to make only one trip to California for both the ENE conference and her deposition.

- 4. Given the special circumstances of the case, the parties agree that there is good cause to extend the Deadlines and to convert the ENE conference to mediation where all of the parties could participate to try to reach a global settlement rather than proceeding to an ENE conference.
- 5. There is good cause for extending the Deadlines. All of the parties, including the Third Party Defendants who have not yet appeared, are now in agreement that a global mediation should be scheduled at a time when all parties can meaningfully participate. Because there is no video conferencing or telephone conferencing capability at the Lake County detention center, McQueen will be sending his wife as his representative with the authority to settle the matter. Third Party Defendant Goldstein will be representing himself and Katz at the mediation with an authority to settle on behalf of Katz. Given the procedural posture of the case, the parties will need an additional time to first complete mediation, then proceed to discovery and file pre-trial dispositive motions, if warranted, then finally prepare for trial.
- 6 On March 23, 2006, all of the parties through their counsel participated in the third telephone conference with Ms. Phillips. Based on the schedules of the parties,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

counsel and evaluator, the earliest date available for mediation was June 14, 2006. Ms. Phillips will be in China for 3 weeks starting April 15, 2006. Counsel for Friel will be out of state for 3 weeks starting from May 1, 2006. Counsel for Plaintiff has a trial starting on May 22, 2006, which will likely last until the week of June 5, 2006. Thus, the parties agreed to proceed on June 14, 2006, in San Francisco.

- 7. In sum, the parties, through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate to mediate in lieu of proceeding to an ENE conference. Moreover, the parties hereby stipulate to amend the Deadlines as follows:
 - a) the mediation shall be completed on or before June 23, 2006;
- b) the parties shall conclude all lay witness depositions by the Discovery Cutoff (as defined by Local Rules of United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Rule 26-2) of August 15, 2006, and the written discovery shall be completed by the Discovery Cutoff of August 15, 2006;
- c) the parties shall conclude all expert witness depositions by August 31,
 2006; and
 - d) the parties shall file dispositive motions on or before September 15, 2006.
- 8. Moreover, in view of the pending mediation, the parties stipulate to extend the deadline for Third Party Defendants to respond to the third party complaint to 30 days after the completion of mediation.

///

21 | ///

22 | ///

A41.011.700	V VI-44	t , $u \nabla \nabla$	TOATALEAATT

SEIR GOFFSTEIR

ROUTE /

6 /9 5105970295 fex 07:01:46 p.m. 04-06-2006 1 9. This Stipulation may be executed by facsimile in multiple counterparts, 2 each of which shall be deemed an original and which shall together constitute one and 3 the same instrument. ADMI Ce 4 Dated: March ____, 2006 5 Law Offices of Seth L. Goldstein/ 6 By: 7 Seth L. Goldstein Attorneys for Plaintiff Rita Jette and Third 8 Party Defendant Henry II. Katz 9 Katzoff & Riggs 10 11 By: Robert R. Riggs 12 Sung E. Shim Attorneys for Defendant, Cross-Claimant and 13 Third Party Plaintiff Michael Friel 14 15 16 By: Seth L. Goldstein 17 In Pro Per 18 Dated: March , 2006 19 Approved: 20 By: 21 Pamela Phillips Evaluator / Mediator 22

04/01/2000 01:24 PAA 10010129011

SEIR GULDSIEIN

<u>ស</u>្នេកប្រម

5105970295

02:02:17 p.m. 04-06-2006

ARAZI ZARAP	14:11 /0/-263-/04/	EWING & ASSUCIATES PAG	E 82/82				
	,		***************************************				
		the same the same and the strength the same that a second	Part de Constitution de Consti				
	7. This Stipulation may be executed by facsimile in multiple counterparts,						
2	each of which shall be dee	aned an original and which shall together constitute one and					
3	the same instrument.	3/3/66 Eving & Associator Attorneys for George me Queen					
5	Dated: March 2006	Law Offices of Seth L. Goldstein					
6	•						
7		By: Seth L. Goldstein					
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff Rits Jette and Third Party Defendant Henry H. Kaiz					
9	Deted: March, 2005	•					
10		Katzoff & Riggs					
30 mg 11		By;					
4 12 12		Robert R. Riggs Sung E. Shim					
13		Attorneys for Defendant, Cross-Claimant and Third Party Plaintiff Michael Priel					
14	Dated: March, 2006	tawainch & Fier					
15	Dated: March2000						
16		By:					
17		In Pro Per					
18	Dated: March, 2006						
19	Approved:	•					
20		Ву:					
21		Pamela Phillips Evaluator / Mediator					
22		CARINGIOL I MICHIGAL					
to the state of th	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO M	EDIATE IN LIEU OF EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION AND TO					
		TEND PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES	- 1				

9 /9

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MEDIATE IN LIEU OF EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION AND TO EXTEND PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES

KATZOFF & RIGGS 1500 PARK AVE., SUITE 300 EMERYVILLE, CA 94608 (510) 597-1990

ORDER

Pursuant to Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April __13, 2006



PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare that I am, and was at the time of service of the papers herein referred to, over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action or proceeding. I am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California. My business address is 1500 Park Avenue, Suite 300, Emeryville, California 94608. I am familiar with the regular mail collection and processing practices of Katzoff & Riggs for correspondence deposited for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On April 7, 2006, I caused to be served the following document(s):

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MEDIATE IN LIEU OF EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION AND TO EXTEND PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES

addressed to each such addressee respectively as follows:

Maureen M. Bryan Scramstad & Bryan, P.C. 2020 Bonifacio Street, Suite B Concord, CA 94520 Tel. (925) 825-3393 / Fax (925) 825-2875

I then served the addressees in the following manner:

X VIA THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE by causing a true copy and/or
original thereof to be placed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in
he designated area for outgoing mail.
] VIA FACSIMILE by causing such document to be served via facsimile on the
parties in this action via facsimile numbers as stated on this proof of service.
BY PERSONAL HAND DELIVERY on the parties in this action by causing a
rue copy and/or original thereof to be delivered by hand in person to the offices of the
nddressee(s).
] VIA OVERNIGHT COURIER by causing a true copy and/or original thereof to
be personally delivered via the following overnight courier service: Golden State
Overnight.
declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California and the
United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 7,
2006. at Emeryville. California.

SUNG E. SHIM